

Huneke–Wiegand conjecture and change of rings

Shiro Goto (Meiji University)*¹
Ryo Takahashi (Nagoya University)*²
Naoki Taniguchi (Meiji University)*³
Hoang Le Truong (IMVAST)*⁴

Let M and N be finitely generated modules over an integral domain R and assume that both modules M and N are torsionfree. The final destination of this research is to get the answer for the question of when the tensor product $M \otimes_R N$ is torsionfree. Our interest dates back to the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1 (Huneke–Wiegand conjecture [3]). Let R be a Gorenstein local integral domain. Let M be a finitely generated R -module with $\text{depth}_R M = \dim R$, that is M is a maximal Cohen–Macaulay R -module. If $M \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ is torsionfree, then M is a free R -module.

Conjecture 1 derives from the Auslander–Reiten conjecture and it classically holds true, when the base ring R is integrally closed ([1, Proposition 3.3]). C. Huneke and R. Wiegand [3] proved that it is true, if R is a hypersurface. They showed also that Conjecture 1 is reduced to the case where $\dim R = 1$. The problem is, however, still open in general, and no one has a complete answer to the following Conjecture 2, even in the case where R is a complete intersection, or in the case where R is a numerical semigroup ring over a field.

Conjecture 2. Suppose that R is a Gorenstein local integral domain of dimension one and let I be an ideal of R . If $I \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(I, R)$ is torsionfree, then I is a principal ideal.

In our research we are deeply interested in the question of what happens if we replace $\text{Hom}_R(I, R)$ with $\text{Hom}_R(I, K_R)$, where K_R stands for the canonical module of R . One of the advantages of such a modification is the usage of the symmetry between I and $\text{Hom}_R(I, K_R)$ and the other one is the possible change of rings, which I will explain in my lecture.

Conjecture 3. Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring of dimension one and assume that R possesses the canonical module K_R . Let I be a faithful ideal of R . If $I \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(I, K_R)$ is torsionfree, then $I \cong R$ or $I \cong K_R$ as an R -module.

The main result of my lecture is the following.

Theorem 4. *Let R be a Cohen–Macaulay local ring of dimension one and assume that R possesses the canonical module K_R . Let I be a faithful ideal of R . Suppose that $e(R) \leq 6$. If $I \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(I, K_R)$ is torsionfree, then $I \cong R$ or $I \cong K_R$ as an R -module.*

Theorem 4 holds true also, if the multiplicity $e(R)$ of R is 7 and if we restrict our attention to monomial ideals in numerical semigroup rings. However, Theorem 4 is no longer true in general, whence so is Conjecture 3, if $e(R) = 9$. We have a counterexample among monomial ideals in numerical semigroup rings, although Conjecture 3 is still open in multiplicity 8.

*¹ e-mail: goto@math.meiji.ac.jp

*² e-mail: takahashi@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp

*³ e-mail: taniguti@math.meiji.ac.jp

*⁴ e-mail: hltruong@math.ac.vn

References

- [1] M. Auslander, *Modules over unramified regular local rings*, Illinois J. Math., **5** (1961), 631-647.
- [2] S. Goto, R. Takahashi, N. Taniguchi, and H. L. Truong, *Huneke-Wiegand conjecture of rank one with the change of rings*, arXiv:1305.4238 (2013).
- [3] C. Huneke and R. Wiegand, *Tensor products of modules, rigidity and local cohomology*, Math. Scand., **81** (1997), 161-183.