

Facebook Code
SNS Platform Affordances and Privacy

Prof. A. A. Adams



APSN 2nd International Conference
19-20 November 2012

Overview

- Cognitive Dissonance and Related Psychology
- Code as Law Protocol as Architecture
- Mixi and Facebook Changing Names
- Platform Provider Incentives
- User Incentives
- Privacy by Design
- Privacy by Default

Cognitive Dissonance and Related Psychology



- Cognitive Dissonance:
One's mental model of the world and the input from one's senses disagree;
Result: psychological pain
- Post-choice Bias:
After making a choice (particularly one with some negative consequences) the decision-maker rationalises their preferences to fit the choice
- Group-think and Peer Effects:
Even where there are no extrinsic benefits to a particular type of behaviour, people follow the *norms* of their peer group

Code as Law (Protocol as Architecture)

- Lessig and Galloway: How we use computers and communications technology is limited and constrained by their protocols
- General purpose computing to some extent allows a free market
- Networked services are much more constrained to users (Facebook is closer to AOL than the Web)
- Most users don't read terms and conditions and don't understand computer's backend capabilities

Mixi and Facebook

Changing Names and Japanese SNS



- Mixi was the dominant SNS in Japan until 2012, now overtaken by Facebook
- Mixi was by T&Cs, norms and protocol a pseudonymous site
- Other Japanese sites were actually anonymous, such as ni-chaneru
- Facebook has always had a so-called “Real Name” policy
- Interviews in 2009 in Japan indicated that users of Mixi preferred pseudonyms
- Group discussions in 2012 in Japan indicated that users of Facebook appreciated the benefits of Real Names spell-

Platform Provider Incentives

- If you're not paying for the service, you're the product being sold
- Facebook is jealous of their database and refuse to allow Google access (unlike, for example, academic publishers)
- Facebook is the portal to the World (Wide Web) for many
- Advertising revenue, app revenue, referrer revenue, marketing data revenue
- Facebook wants you to be (mostly) open

User Incentives

- He who dies with the most ~~toys~~ *friends* wins
- More information provides more feeling of connection
- Facebook filters friends' views so that users don't gain the visibility they think they do
- Filtering is necessary to prevent information overload
- Privacy, like reputation, is hard to maintain and, once lost, may never be re-achieved

Privacy by Design

- Minimise data collection
- Data Processing for a purpose, and only that purpose
- Consent trumps limitations
- Network effects trump informed consent (no service, no life)
- Changing defaults: new settings always to the platform operators benefit
- Privacy is hard to provide an interface for

Privacy by Default

- Default to closed, not open views
- Better presentation of privacy selections
- Take-back options enforced by law (Google must clear cached items; Wayback machine?)
- Positive acceptance of tagging only?
- Users are not their own enemy:
user education is not the primary solution;
economics, regulation, competition, new norms, new protocols